

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.2206-2207 OF 2002

MANICKA VINAYAGAR THEATER
APPELLANT

...

VERSUS

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.
RESPONDENTS

...

ORDER

These matters pertain to writ petitions of the year 1995 and SLP itself was filed in the year 2001. We are told that there is a possibility that the Theater has since been shut down. The learned counsel for the appellant, however, states that he has no information about the latest position on this score. In the light of this position we dispose of these appeals as having become infructuous leaving the question of law open with liberty to the appellant that in case it is found that the matter still survives, the appellant can move this Court for revival of these appeals.

.....J.
(HARJIT SINGH BEDI)

.....J.
(B. SUDERSHAN REDDY)

NEW DELHI,
APRIL 30, 2009.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.2208-2209 OF 2002

MELODY THEATER

... APPELLANT

VERSUS

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.
RESPONDENTS

...

ORDER

These matters pertain to writ petitions of the year 1995 and SLP itself was filed in the year 2001. We are told that there is a possibility that the Theater has since been shut down. The learned counsel for the appellant, however, states that he has no information about the latest position on this score. In the light of this position we dispose of these appeals as having become infructuous leaving the question of law open with liberty to the appellant that in case it is found that the matter still survives, the appellant can move this Court for revival of these appeals.

.....J.

(HARJIT SINGH BEDI)

.....J.
(B. SUDERSHAN REDDY)

NEW DELHI,
APRIL 30, 2009.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.2210-2211 OF 2002

MAPPILLAI VINAYAGAR CINE COMPLEX
APPELLANT

...

VERSUS

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.
RESPONDENTS

...

ORDER

These matters pertain to writ petitions of the year 1995 and SLP itself was filed in the year 2001. We are told that there is a possibility that the Theater has since been shut down. The learned counsel for the appellant, however, states that he has no information about the latest position on this score. In the light of this position we dispose of these appeals as having become infructuous leaving the question of law open with liberty to the appellant that in case it is found that the matter still survives, the appellant can move this Court for revival of these appeals.

.....J.
(HARJIT SINGH BEDI)

.....J.
(B. SUDERSHAN REDDY)

NEW DELHI,
APRIL 30, 2009.
ITEM NO.119

Court No.10

SECTION XII

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2206-2207 OF 2002

MANICKA VINAYAGAR THEATER

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH Civil Appeal NOS. 2208-2209/2002 AND 2210-2211/2002

Date: 30/04/2009 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

For Appellant(s) Mr. K.v. Mohan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Krishnamurthy, Sr.Adv.
 Mr. T. Harish,Adv.
 Mr. Prasanth P., Adv.
 Mr. V. Vasudevan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The appeals are disposed of as having become
infructuous in terms of the signed orders.

(Pawan Kumar)
Court Master
(signed orders are placed on the file)

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master