

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2619 AND 2620-2621 OF 2011**

WITH

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 4-5**

(Application for bringing on record the Additional facts  
and subsequent events in CA Nos. 2620-2621 of 2011)

Union Public Service Commission  
through its Secretary ...Appellant

versus

D. Sankar & Anr. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matters above mentioned have been filed against  
the Judgment and Order dated 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2007 passed by  
the High Court of Judicature at Madras in W.P. Nos. 12707  
of 2007 and 23479 of 2006 & 12706 of 2006 respectively.

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the  
Hon'ble Court on 14<sup>th</sup> March, 2011, when the Court was  
pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Leave granted.  
Let the record of this case be placed before  
Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India for  
constituting a larger Bench for  
reconsidering the correctness of Umadevi's  
case (Supra)."**

Service position of each matter:-

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2619 OF 2011**

It is submitted that there are two Respondents, who  
are represented by Mr. G. Balaji and V.G. Pragasam,  
Advocates, respectively.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned back to the High  
Court in terms of circular dated 4th December, 2014.

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 2620-2621 OF 2011**

It is submitted that there are four common Respondents. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. G. Balaji, Advocate and Respondent Nos. 2-3 are represented by Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Advocate, and respondent No. 4 has been served at SLP stage.

It is further submitted that Mr. G. Balaji, Advocate for common Respondent No. 1 has on 28<sup>th</sup> January, 2016 filed an application for bringing on record additional facts and subsequent events, with affidavit and Annexures A to G, the same has been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 4-5 and copy of the same is circulated herewith.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned back to the High Court in terms of circular dated 4th December, 2014.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of cases and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where the parties do not file statement of cases within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the parties do not desire to file statement of cases and they have adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of cases in both the matters.

The matters alongwith applications above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 29<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mrs. Binu Tamta, Advocate  
Mr. G. Balaji, Advocate  
Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR