

CA 2620-2621/2011

1

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.3

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos.6-7/2016 in Civil Appeal Nos.2620-2621/2011

UNION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

S.SRINIVASAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For directions and office report)

Date: 25/11/2016 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAVA ROY

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Binu Tamta, AOR

For Applicants Mr. Jayant Bhushan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S. Gowthaman, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. R. Venkatramani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR

Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mrs. Neelam Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Singh, Adv.

Mr. Yashraj Singh Bundela, Adv.

Mrs. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. G. Balaji, AOR

Mr. Shiva Vijaya Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

I.A. Nos.6-7/2016 in Civil Appeal Nos.2620-2621/2011

These applications have been preferred by the Union Territory of Puducherry praying for not to treat the order dated 18 th

March, 2016, rendered in Civil Appeal Nos.2620-2621 of 2011, as a precedent.

CA 2620-2621/2011

2

Having heard Mr. R. Venkatramani, learned senior counsel appearing for the Union Territory of Puducherry, we are of the considered opinion that the submission is correct and, accordingly, the judgment rendered therein shall be treated to have been delivered in the special features of that case.

The interlocutory applications are disposed of accordingly.

I.A. Nos.1-2/2016 in I.A. Nos.6-7/2016 in C.A. Nos.2620-2621/2011

It is submitted by Mr. Jayant Bhushan, learned senior counsel appearing for the applicants that the judgment rendered in Civil Appeal Nos.2620-2621 of 2011 is a precedent. We have already expressed the view that it is not the precedent. However, if the intervenors have filed any independent proceeding before any court and it travels to this Court, the same shall be adverted to on their own merits. On that point of time, the applicants can take the stand that the Union Territory of Puduchery has acted arbitrarily.

The interlocutory applications are, accordingly, disposed of.

(Chetan Kumar)

Court Master (H.S. Parasher)

Court Master