

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.7575 OF 2011

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

...Appellant(s)

Vs.

ARJUN SINGH SENGER

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. We do not see any cogent reason to entertain the appeal. The judgment impugned does not warrant any interference.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.  
[S.ABDUL NAZEER]

.....J.  
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

New Delhi;  
May 24, 2022.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 7575/2011

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ARJUN SINGH SENGHER

Respondent(s)

Date : 24-05-2022 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA  
(VACATION BENCH)

For Appellant(s) Ms. Noopur Singhal, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.  
Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Arvind Gupta, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed  
order.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed  
of.

(ANITA MALHOTRA)  
AR-CUM-PS

(KAMLESH RAWAT)  
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file.)