

ITEM NO.4

COURT NO.16

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 4060/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 13-08-2025 in SBCRMBA No. 6677/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur]

HIMMAT SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent(s)

IA No. 66567/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED  
JUDGMENT

IA No. 66576/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 08-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Ajit Sharma, AOR  
Mr. Kanchan Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Yuvaraj Sinh C Solanki, Adv.  
Mr. Akshat Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Anant Ram Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Lareb Habib Ansari, Adv.  
Mr. Ajeet Singh Shekhawat, Adv.  
Mr. Amrit Keshari Nandan Pradhan, Adv.  
Mr. Shrey Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Ashutosh Senger, Adv.  
Mr. Shashwat Koshishik, Adv.  
Ms. Shweta Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Prema Kumari Gupta, Adv.  
Mrs. Kumudini Priyadarshini, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Ms. Sansriti Pathak, A.A.G.  
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Ms. Sansriti Pathak, learned AAG appearing for the respondent-State of Rajasthan.

2. The petitioner is to undergo trial for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1)/49 and 324(5)/49 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. It is the case of the prosecution that a group of persons constituting unlawful assembly assaulted the deceased *Gopal Singh*.

4. Although, it is sought to be argued on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner was not present at the scene of the occurrence and that the charge under Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, was also omitted against him, still however *prima facie*, it is found that the petitioner was involved in the process of commission of crime by providing his vehicle, connecting himself continuously with the accused persons and watching the entire incident through the CCTV connected to his phone. The findings in this regard are found in paragraph-5 of the impugned order and these aspects are also stated in paragraph-11 of the counter affidavit filed by the State.

5. In totality of the facts and circumstances, the Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. However, it is observed that the trial shall proceed expeditiously and if it is not completed within a period of 06 months from today, in such eventuality, it will be open for the petitioner to renew the prayer for bail, which shall be considered in accordance with law and on its own merits by the Court concerned.

6. It goes without saying that the observations made in this order are confined to be considered for grant of bail or otherwise and shall not influence the trial.

7. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(MANOJ KUMAR)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)