

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2399-2400/2009

ZAKIR HUSSAIN EDUCATION SOCIETY & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment, permission to file additional documents and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO.4243-4244,4245,3238-3239,3253-3254,4246,4247-4248
4249-4250,5734-5735,5736,5737-5738,5739,5740,5743-5744,5745-5746,
5747-5748,5749-5750,5752-5753,5754-5755,5756-5757,5758-5759,5761-
5762,4009-4010,4012-4013,5763-5764,5771-5772,5773-5774,5775-5776,
5778-5779,5781-5782,5784-5785,5786-5787,5789-5790,5791-5792,5793-
5794,5765-5766,5795-5796,5798-5799,5800-5801,5802-5803,5805-
5806,5807,5810-5811,5814-5815,5816-5817,5818-5819,5820-5821,5823-
5824,
5825-5826,5827-5828,5829-5830,5831-5832,5834-5835,5836-5837,5838-
5839,5842-5843,5844-5845,5846-5847,5849-5850,5767-5768,6634,6859-
6960,6861-6862,6863,6865-6866,6868-6869,6870-6871,6872-6873,8822-
8823,8853-8855,8859-8860,8861-8862,12859-12860,27923-27924,27909,
36061-36062,36063-36064,36082-36083,36075-36076 and 16949-16950
of 2009

(WITH OFFICE REPORT)

Date: 04/08/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Amol N. Suryawanshi, Adv.
Mr. B.K.Satija, Adv.
Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Adv.
Ms. Meenakshi Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Ekta Kadian, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Sabharwal, Adv.
Mr. Kishor Lambat, Adv.
Mr. S. Rajappa, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.
Mr. B.K.Satija, Adv.

Item No.36

-2-

Mr.Himinder Lal, Adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.
Mr. Alok Kumar, Adv,
Mr.Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.
Ms. Madhusmita Singh, Adv.
Ms. Tenzing T., Adv.
Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Anagha S.Desai, Adv.
Dr. R.R. Deshpande, Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu S.Choudhari, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S.N. Rai, Adv.
Mr. K.N. Rai, Adv.
Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Kant, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. K.N. Rai, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In some of the SLPs petitioners have failed to pay process fee. It is disclosed at Bar that all these SLPs as well as matter listed at Item No.43 are arising out of the same common order.

In view of such fact, petitioners in all the concerned SLPs where one or another respondent is yet unserved, are permitted to serve advance copy of the pleadings against proper acknowledgment to the concerned Advocates who have filed their appearance for such respondents in any of the Item No.36

-3-

connected SLP. In such case, such acknowledgment shall be filed on record and it shall be considered as proper proof of service upon such unserved respondents.

The learned Advocate appearing for the State of Maharashtra in all the SLPs confirms that they have filed counter affidavit in the first SLP and for rest of the SLPs they do not want to file separate counter affidavit and are adopting the same counter affidavit in all the SLPs on behalf of State of Maharashtra. They have to supply copy of the counter affidavit to all the concerned Advocates for the petitioners in all different SLPs.

List again on 4.9.2010.

(S.G. SHAH)
Registrar