

>

SLP(C)No. 8701 OF 2001

ITEM No.33 & 61

Court No. 4

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.8701/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 17/04/2001 in CWP 4858/01  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

M/S. BHARAT SINGH KAMLESH KUMAR & ANR.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

With  
SLP(C)10874/2001

Date : 09/07/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Mahabir Singh, Adv.  
SLP(C)8701/01 and Mr. SR Sharma, Adv.  
Rr in SLP(C)10874/2001

SLP(C)10874/2001 Mr. Rajesh Mittra, Adv.  
and R2 in SLP(C)8701/01

For Respondent(s) Mr. ML Verma, Sr. Adv.  
No.1 in both SLPs Mr. Rohit Tandon, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J  
.SP2

SLP(C)8701/2001@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Initially we thought that in order to protect the  
exchequer we should entertain this SLP, as the second  
respondent made an offer which is seemingly higher than  
the bid made by the first respondent. The order was  
initially passed by the Financial Commissioner(Revenue)  
of the Haryana State on the basis of the offer made by  
the second respondent. Though the High Court was not

disposed to use the same as sufficient ground to upset  
the bid made by the first respondent, we wanted to have a  
re-look into the matter but the second respondent today  
entered appearance before us and said that he has  
withdrawn the offer made before the government. Both  
counsel for the respondents submitted that this

resilement was not made on account of any understanding between them. We do not make any observation on the said stand of the respondents. Accordingly this SLP is dismissed.

SLP(C)10874/2001@@

CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not pressing this SLP. We do not know what prompted the petitioner to make the last minute withdrawal from the offer made by him. Standing counsel for the State requests that permission may be given to black-list the petitioner and take other actions against the petitioner. We do not make any observation on this aspect as it is for the State to adopt such measures as per law, if found necessary.

The SLPs are accordingly dismissed.

.SP1

Hemalatha

(HK Bhatia)  
Court Master