

ITEM NO.27

COURT NO.7

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s).9863/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-11-2017 in CRA No. 1447/2011 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for The State of Telangana and The State of Andhra Pradesh]

KAMBOLI RAMDAS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH (NOW STATE OF TELANGANA) Respondent(s)

IA No. 56830/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

Date : 27-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Kedar Nath Tripathy, AOR
Mr. Aditya Narayan Tripathy, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi Sahu, Adv.
Mr. Gyanadutta Chouhan, Adv.
Ms. Bhavti Pujara, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. The petitioner was put to trial in Sessions Case No.197/2010 in the Court of III Additional District and Sessions Judge (Fast Track Court), Nizamabad for the offence of murder punishable under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, "the IPC").

3. The petitioner is alleged to have committed murder of his own son, aged about 3 years at the relevant point of time. The deceased was born in the second wedlock of the present petitioner.

4. The Trial Court held him guilty of the offence of murder and sentenced him to undergo life imprisonment. His appeal before the High Court also failed. In such circumstances, he is here before us with the present petition.

5. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and having gone through the evidence on record, we are of the view that no error, not to speak of any error of law could be said to have been committed by the High Court in dismissing the appeal and, thereby affirming the judgment and order of conviction passed by the Trial Court.

6. However, considering the fact that the petitioner is undergoing life imprisonment past 14 years, we permit him to prefer an appropriate representation addressed to the competent authority of the State of Telangana, praying for remission of the sentence.

7. If any such representation is preferred, the authority concerned shall look into the same at the earliest and decide it in accordance with law, more particularly, the policy of the State Government as regards remission.

8. The outcome of the representation shall be informed to the petitioner in writing.

9. With the aforesaid, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of.

10. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)