

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9109/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 12/02/2009 in WP No. 27411/2007
of The HIGH COURT OF CALCUTTA)

RABINDRA NATH PRADHAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 08/10/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Pijush K. Roy, Adv.
Mr. Mithilesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Verma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted. Heard.

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

(Ravi P. Verma) (M.S. Negi)
Court Master Court Master
[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8869 OF 2010
[Arising out of SLP(C) No.9109/2009]

RABINDRA NATH PRADHAN

.....APPELLANT

Versus

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Leave granted. Heard.

2. In a writ petition filed by the appellant the High
Court, by order dated 20.12.2007, directed the concerned

authorities to permit the appellant to appear for interview along with other sponsored candidates, for Group 'D' posts. The appellant, having been successful in the interview, joined the School on 8.11.2008 in pursuance of letter of appointment dated 7.11.2008. The sponsored candidates challenged the appointment of the appellant by filing a writ petition. The Division Bench had referred the writ petition filed by the sponsored candidates as also the writ petition filed by the appellant, to a larger Bench, by the impugned order dated 12.2.2009.

3. Notice was issued limited to the question why appellant should not be permitted to work on adhoc basis on salary till the disposal of the matter before the High Court.

.....2.

- 2 -

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submitted, without prejudice to the contention before the High Court, that the respondents have no objection to permit the appellant to work on adhoc basis on salary till the matter is decided by the High Court.

5. Recording the same, the appeal is disposed of. We make it clear that the appellant will not claim any equities by reason of being permitted to work on adhoc basis.

.....J.
(R.V. RAVEENDRAN)

New Delhi;
October 08, 2010.

.....J.
(H.L. GOKHALE)