ITEM NO.101 COURT NO.11 SECTION XV
(PH)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.3687/2006

RAJNI SANGHI Appellant(s)

VERSUS

WESTERN INDIAN STATE MOTORS LTD. & ORS. Respondent(s)
(with appln. for directions and office report)

WITH
C.A. No. 503/2001
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 2763/2002
(With Office Report)

CONMT.PET.(C) No.21/2008 In C.A. No. 2763/2002
(With Office Report)

Date : 09/10/2015 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Appellant(s) in CA.No.2763/02 App.Nos.3,4,5&7
Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Adv.
Ms. Shreya Agarwal, Adv.
Mr.Sameer Abhaynkar, Adv.

In CA.3687/06
Mr. Gaurav Pachnanda, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Purohit, Adv.
Ms. Shruti Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Rajni Sanghi, Adv.

In CA.2763/02 for App.No.2
Mr. Anoop G. Chaudhari, Sr.Adv.
Ms. June Chaudhari, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Sarwa Mitter, Adv.
Mr. Amit Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Anant A. Pavgi, Adv.for
M/s Mitter & Mitter Co.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Usha Rani Bhardwaj
Date: 2015.10.13
13:55:52 IST
Reason:

Mr. Mishra Saurabh,Adv.

In CA.No.503/01
For Appellant
Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.
Ms. Surekha Raman, Adv.

Mr. Anuj Sarma, Adv.
Mr. Debarshi Bhuyan, Adv.
Ms. Niharika, Adv.fsor
M/s. K. J. John & Co.,

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury,Adv.

Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.
Ms. Christi Jain, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Adv.
Mr.Manu Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Chhaya Kirti, Adv.
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain,Adv.

For Respondent(s) R.3-4 & 6 (CA.503/11) R.10(b)in CA.3687/06
Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Adv.
Ms. Shreya Agarwal, Adv.
Mr.Sameer Abhaynkar, Adv.

In CA.2763/02 for R.No.13-17 & for R.No.3 in CA.3687/06
Mr. Arvind P. Datar, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.
Mr. Jai Munim, Adv.
Ms. Surekha Raman, Adv.
Mr. Anuj Sarma, Adv.
Ms. Niharika, Adv.fsor
M/s. K. J. John & Co.,

CA.No.2763/02 RR.No.8&9
Mr.Shyam Divan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Senthil Jagdeesan, Adv.

R.4 in CA.3687/06 R.2 in CA.503/01
Mr. Anoop G. Chaudhari, Sr.Adv.
Ms. June Chaudhari, Sr.Adv.
Mr.Sarwa Mitter, Adv.
Mr. Amit Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Anant A. Pavgi, Adv.for
M/s Mitter & Mitter Co.

Mr. Abhijat P. Medh,Adv.

Mr. Milind Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury,Adv.

Mr. V. Ramasubramanian,Adv.
Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Appellant states that the Contempt Petition may be dismissed as the alleged Contemnor has passed away. As prayed for the Contempt Petition is

dismissed as having become infructuous.

Mr. Anoop G. Chaudhari learned Senior Counsel resumed his argument at 11.35 a.m. and concluded at 1.00 p.m. Thereafter, Mr. Puneet Jain argumed about half an hour. Thereafter Mr. Arvind P. Datar learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondents in CA.No.2763/2002 started his arguments and was on his legs when the Court rose for the day.

The matters remained part heard.

(USHA BHARDWAJ)
AR-CUM-PS

(SAROJ SAINI
COURT MASTER

Signed Order in Contempt Petition is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION) NO. 21 OF 2008

IN
(CIVIL APPEAL No. 2763 OF 2002)

Mukund Sanghi .. Petitioner(s)

Versus

R.K. Sanghi ..Respondent/Alleged Contemnor

O R D E R

The Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Appellant states that the Contempt Petition may be dismissed as the alleged Contemnor has passed away. As prayed for, the Contempt Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....J.
[VIKRAMAJIT SEN]

. .....J.
[SHIVA KIRTI SINGH]

NEW DELHI,
OCTOBER 09, 2015.