

ITEM NO.47

COURT NO.9

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No. 8289/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-12-2025 in WB No. 2127/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

SHREEPAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 65725/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT; IA No. 65728/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 20-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ardhendumauli Kr. Prasad, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rituvendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arya Hardik, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Choudhury, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Shyel Trehan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Aditya Singh-1, AOR
Mr. Shivansh Pundir, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Khanna, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel appearing for the caveator.
2. In the facts and circumstances of the case, we are not satisfied that any case for interference with the impugned order has been made out by the petitioners, more particularly, when the petitioners have an alternate statutory remedy of an appeal under

Section 21 of the Uttar Pradesh Consolidation of Holdings Act, 1953.

3. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

4. However, the petitioners are at liberty to avail the aforesaid remedy and to seek interim protection therein within a period of two weeks. For the said period of two weeks, the order dated 15.05.2025 passed by the Settlement Officer, Consolidation shall not be given effect to, if not already implemented, *qua* the petitioners only.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SNEHA DAS)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(NIDHI MATHUR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)