

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
WRIT PETITION (CRL.) NO(s). 40 OF 2009

PEHLAD SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH W.P(CRL.) No. 92 of 2009

(With office report)

W.P(CRL.) No. 96 of 2009

(With office report)

W.P(CRL.) No. 99 of 2009

(With appln. for stay of arrest and office report)

W.P(CRL.) No. 112 of 2009

(With office report)

W.P(CRL.) No. 11 of 2009

Date: 21/04/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B.S.Malik, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Adv.
Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri, Adv.
Ms. Santosh Singh, Adv.
Mr. S.N.Thakur, Adv.

Mrs. Santosh Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv. for
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, Adv.
Mr. Bikas Kar Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In continuation of our earlier Record of Proceeding dated 20.4.2010, W.P.(Crl.) No. 92 of 2009 is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Shashi Sareen)

(Shashi Bala Vij)

Court Master

Court Master

(signed order in W.P.(Crl.) No. 92/2009 is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CRL.) No. 92 OF 2009

KUSHAL @ MADAN GHOSH & ANR.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

... Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. We do not find any merit in this writ petition which is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
(V.S.SIRPURKAR)

.....J.
(Dr. MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA)

New Delhi,
April 20, 2010.