

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). _____ OF 2026
(@ Diary No(s). 9814/2026)**

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 12538-39 OF 2024

SARKAR . . . PETITIONER(S)

Versus

LEELA AGRAWAL & ANR. . . RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.

2. We have gone through the review petition(s) as well as the grounds in support thereof. We do not find any error, much less apparent, in the order impugned, warranting its reconsideration.

3. The Review Petition(s) shall stand dismissed accordingly.

.....J.
(VIKRAM NATH)

.....J.
(PRASANNA B. VARALE)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 19, 2026.

ITEM NO.1004

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 9814/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19-11-2024 in C.A. No.. 12539/2024 19-11-2024 in C.A. No. 12538/2024 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

SARKAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LEELA AGRAWAL & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 53274/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION

Date : 19-05-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned.

The review petition(s) shall stand dismissed
in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand
disposed of.

(SONIA BHASIN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILLEY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[Signed order is placed on the file]