

ITEM NO.204

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E            C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9049/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 08/12/2008 in RP No. 4571/2008  
of The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

DY.MANGR.RAJ.STATE MIN.&amp; MINERL.LTD.&amp;ORS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KHUSRU ZAMAN KHAN &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )  
[FOR FINAL DISPOSAL ]

Date: 08/03/2010

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B.D. Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. Vikram Sikand, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Bohra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Mukul Kumar, Adv. for  
Ms. Charu Mathur,Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain ,Adv

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

## O R D E R

This petition is directed against order dated  
8.12.2008 passed by the National Consumer Disputes Redressal  
Commission, whereby it dismissed the revision petition filed  
by the petitioners and confirmed the orders passed by

2

District Forum, Consumer Disputes, Dungarpur and State  
Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

in the matter of payment of the amount payable to respondent

No. 1 towards provident fund dues.

At the hearing, learned counsel appearing for  
respondent No. 1 stated that his client has already been  
paid the amount in terms of the order passed by the District

Forum. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners very fairly states that the amount has already been paid to respondent No.1.

In view of the above, the Special Leave Petition is dismissed leaving the question of law open to be decided in an appropriate case.

(Pardeep Kumar)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master