

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).15462/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 19/09/2008 in
of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT PANAJI)

WP No. 462/2007

SANJITHA RANESARDESSAI & ANR.
VERSUS

Petitioner(s)

RAJAN RAGHUNATHRAO & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date: 20/09/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. M.S. Ganesh, Sr. Adv
 Mr. K. Seshachary, Adv.
 Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Adv.
 Mr. T.V.S. Raghavendra Sreyas, Adv.
 Mr. Swapnil Verma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.
 Mr. Sarv Mitter, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

No ground is made for interference under Article
136 of the Constitution of India. Special Leave Petition
is dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that
the High Court has recorded a finding that the second
petitioner (son-in-law of Vijayamala) is not entitled to
any separate share and that this finding may come in the
way of the second petitioner claiming the share in the
inventory proceedings. It is open to the second
petitioner to urge his claim before the Inventory Court
which will dispose of the matter in accordance with law.
The observations and findings in the impugned order are
necessarily prima facie or tentative findings necessary
for the limited purpose of regulating the withdrawal of
the amounts in deposit. Nothing stated herein shall be
construed as expression of any opinion in regard to the
claim of the son-in-law for a share.

(Ravi P. Verma) (M.S. Negi)
Court Master Court Master