

IT E M NO.4
[NMD Case]

COURT NO.1

S E C T I O N P I L

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. No.3 in I.A. No.1 in
W R I T P E T I T I O N (C I V I L) N O (s) . 1 9 5 O F 2 0 0 6

P E O P L E F O R E T H I C A L T R E A T M E N T O F A N I M A L S
)

Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

C E N T R A L Z O O A U T H O R I T Y & O R S .

Respondent(s)

(For seeking further 4 weeks time to submit guidelines and office report)

Date: 3 1 / 0 7 / 2 0 0 8 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E T H E C H I E F J U S T I C E
H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E P . S A T H A S I V A M
H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E J . M . P A N C H A L

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Raj P a n j w a n i , Adv.
Ms. Pur n i m a B h a t , Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. J.S. Attri, Adv.
Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.
Mr. Vika s Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. V.G. Pr a g a s a m , Adv.
Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.
Mr. Pr a b u R a m a s u b r a m a n i a n , Adv.
Mr. Aruneshwa r Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Krishn a n a n d P a n d e y a , Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhan a n , Adv.
Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.
Mr. R. Ranj an Mukherjee, Adv.

2

Mr. Manoj Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Rajneesh Ku m a r Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Shukla, Adv.
Mr. T.V. George, Adv.

Mr. Jan a K alyan Das, Adv.

Mr. Mohd. Yunus Malik, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Fuzail Kha n , Adv.
Mr. Anil Ku m a r Jha, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Tar a Chandra Shar m a , Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Ms. Ka mi ni Jaisw al, Adv.

Mr. B. B . Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Mr. Ritu Raj, Adv.

Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anis Suhr awa rdy, Adv.

Ms. Hemanti k a Wahi, Adv.

Ms. Pin ky, Adv.

Ms. K. En atoli Sema, Adv.

Mr. Som Nath, Adv.

Mr. Khwair a kp a m Nobin Singh, Adv.

Mr. David Rao, Adv.

Mr. S. Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Mr. Vijay P r a k a s h , Adv.

Ms. Sunita Shar m a , Adv.

Mr. Vika s Shar m a , Adv.

Mr. S.W a s i m A. Qadri, Adv.

Mrs. Asha G. Nair, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

3

Mr. Ritu Raj Biswa s, Adv.

Mr. U. Hazarik a , Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazari k a, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay V. Kh a rde, Adv.

Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv.

Mr. A. Mariarputh a m, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Mr. S. Bal a, Adv. for

M/s Arputha m, Aruna & Co.

Mrs. J ayshree Anand, Adv.

Mr. K. K . Mahali k, Adv.

Mr. Ajay P al, Adv.

Mr. Bhavanish a n k a r V.Gadnis, Adv.

Mrs. B. Sunita Rao, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

OR D E R

It is pointed out that there are about 31 de-recognized

Zoos in various States. The continuance of the Zoos are against

the provisions of The Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972. We are

told that 15 authorities have applied for recognition and the matter is pending before Central Zoo Authority. As regards the other 16 de-recognized Zoos, no such application has been filed. Central Zoo Authority will write to the respective States to do the needful otherwise legal steps will have to be taken against them.

Central Zoo Authority will file a report regarding this within four weeks. List after four weeks.

(K. K. Chawla)
Court Master

(Veera Verma)
Court Master