

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION I

VA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 3944/2010

ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SAROJAMMA & ORS. Respondent(s)

Date : 22/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Debasis Misra,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report indicates that the Ld. Counsel for the appellant and the Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1 have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 31.1.2012 of the Registry. Service of notice is complete on the respondent Nos.2 to 4 but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Order XIX Rule 32 of the

Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant

Signature Not Verified

has adopted the 1

ist of
Digitally signed by
Madhu Grover

dates/synopsis
Date: 2015.04.24
12:08:01 CEST
Reason:

containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to

ITEM NO.91

file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the

same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

MG