

I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL No. 4632 OF 1997@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

KEWAL CHAND MIMANI (D)) BY LRS. APPELLANTS

Versus

S.K.SEN & ORS. RESPONDENTS

(With appln. for transposing respondents as petitioners and restoration
and Office Report)

(With C.P.(C) 417/99-(With appl.(s) for permission to place addl.
documents on record and impleading party and office report),
C.P.(C) 116/2000-(With Office Report)

Date: 22.02.2001 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.C.BANERJEE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH KUMAR

For the Appellant (s) Mr. Dipankar Gupta, Sr.Adv.
Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Aseem Mehrotra, Adv.
Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Adv.

For Respondent (s)
RR 7-10 in CA 4632/97
& Con.P.(C) 417/99 Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.F. Nariman, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. L. Nageswara Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jayanth Muthraj, Adv.
Mr. K.K. Chakraborty, Adv.
Mr. U. Banerjee, Adv
Mr. B.P. Nirbhoy, Adv.
Mr. Bijan Kumar Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Satish Vig, Adv.

For R.9 Mr. G.S. Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Raja Chatterjee, Adv.
Ms. Aruna Mukherjee, Adv.

For R.10 Mr. H.K. Puri, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Banerjee, Adv.

For R.11 in CA4632/97
& Con.P.(c)116/2000 Mr. Tapas Ray, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Adv.
Mr. Parijat Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Sarla Chandra, Adv.

For R.151 Ms. Praveena Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Pramod B. Agarwala, Adv.

For R.44, 63,68, Mr. Yashank Praveen Adhyaru, Sr. Adv.
70-72, 74, 80-82, 85, Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, Adv.
89,96-97,108,145-147

Mr. Maninder Singh, Adv.

Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, learned senior counsel resumed his arguments at 10.40 a.m. Thereafter, Mr. Dipankar Gupta, learned Senior counsel argued from 11.05 a.m. till 12.20 p.m. After hearing learned counsel for the parties at length, the Court reserved its judgment. The written submissions shall be submitted within two weeks from today.

I.A.No.11 : By reasons of the averments made in paragraph@
CCCCCCCC

4 of this application for restoration, we are not inclined to restore this application. Mr. Tapas Ray, learned senior counsel, at this juncture, however, craves leave to withdraw the same. Such a leave is granted. I.A.11 is dismissed as withdrawn.

.SP1

Sarita

(D.D.Jindal)
Court Master

.PA