

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.9925/2000  
(From the judgement and order dated 23/06/2000 in CC 1819/99  
of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDRABAD)

BHANWARLAL, DISTRICT COLLECTOR A.P.&ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

G. KALAVATHI & ORS.  
(With prayer for interim relief)  
( For Final Disposal )

Respondent (s)

Date : 14/11/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Harish Salve,S.G.  
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar,Adv.  
Ms. T. Anamika,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. K. Parasaran,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. A. Subba Rao,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

.SP2

Shri Harish Salve, learned Solicitor General made a frontal attack on the impugned order on the ground that the contempt jurisdiction cannot be stretched to the extent of deciding the title or possession of the immovable properties. Shri K. Parasaran, learned senior counsel submitted that the impugned order was not intended for deciding the title of the contesting parties it was only within the parameters of the contempt jurisdiction.

..2/-

:2:

We refrain from expressing any opinion on the rival contentions, for, present is only an interlocutory order. We dispose of this matter without prejudice to the right of either of the parties to approach this Court on culmination of the proceedings initiated before the High Court. We also make it clear that if the High Court finally passes an order against the petitioner the same will remain in abeyance for a period of 6 weeks therefrom for enabling the petitioner to approach this court with special leave petition.

