

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NO. 8 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8493 OF 2001

T.N. MANIKYAM PILLAI & ANR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

T.M.DORAISWAMY PILLAI & ORS

Respondent(s)

(For disposal of appeal in terms of partition deed and office report)

Date: 29/08/2005 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.

Mr. P.S. Dinesh Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Lalit Mohini Bhat, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Ms. Hetu Arora, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Nos. 1-6,13(a) &

Mr. N.D.B. Raju, Adv.

13(b)

Ms. Bharathi R., Adv.

Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.

No. 16

Mr. David Rao, Adv.

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeal is disposed of.

The interlocutory application is allowed.

[Alka Dudeja]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO. 8 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8493 OF 2001

T.N. MANIKYAM PILLAI & ANR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

T.M.DORAISWAMY PILLAI & ORS

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Perused the compromise petition and its annexures, i.e., the registered

partition deed. In our view, the compromise is lawful.

Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of on the terms enumerated

in the

compromise petition and its annexures, which shall form part of this order.

The interlocutory application is, thus, allowed.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[A.K. MATHUR]

New Delhi,

August 29, 2005.