

ITEM NO.10

COURT NO.17

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 7120-7121/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-02-2026 in WA No. 562/2025 02-02-2026 in WP No. 33362/2025 passed by the High Court for The State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

M RAJSHEKAR REDDY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SERI SRINIVAS REDDY

Respondent(s)

IA No. 53837/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT AND IA No. 57694/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 24-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Dvss Sumayajulu, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P. Mohith Rao, AOR
Mr. Muppu Ravinder Reddy, Adv.
Ms. J. Akshitha, Adv.
Mr. Eugene S Philomene, Adv.
Mr. Dipankar Mukherjee, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Harin P Raval, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M Srinivas R Rao, Adv.
Mr. T Surya Satish Goud, Adv.
Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P, AOR
Mr. Saswat Adhyapak, Adv.
Ms. Namita Kumari, Adv.
Ms. Urmi P Raval, Adv.
Ms. Shreshtha Narayan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Learned senior counsel, Mr. Dvss Sumayajulu, appearing for the petitioner(s) would fairly submit, at the outset, that after filing of

the Special Leave Petition(s), the F-Line Survey has already been conducted. In view of the above, the order passed by the Single Judge, duly affirmed by the Division Bench, having already been carried out, nothing survives in the present Special Leave Petitions for adjudication.

2. Mr. Dvss Sumayajulu, learned senior counsel would next submit that the petitioner(s) apprehends that basing on the F-Line Survey Report, the petitioner(s) may be evicted without taking recourse to law.

3. Considering the above statement, we observe that in the event the petitioner(s) is liable to be evicted from the subject premises in his occupation, the concerned authorities may do so after drawing appropriate proceedings maintainable in law, and affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner(s).

4. At this stage, learned senior counsel, Mr. Harin P Raval, appearing for the respondent disputes that the petitioner(s) is in possession.

5. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petitions are disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER(NSH)