

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CrI.MP Nos. 8281 & 8282 OF 2006 IN CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 893 OF 2000

HEM RAJ JAIN

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent(s)

(Appln(s) for restoration and condonation of delay)

Date: 17/08/2006 This matter was called on for mentioning today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi, Sr. Adv.

for M/s Delhi Law Chambers, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Taken on board.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner/applicant.

Application for condonation of delay in filing restoration applic
ation

is dismissed. Consequently, application for restoration of appeal is also dismis
sed.

[Charanjeet Kaur]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRL.M.P. NOS. 8281 & 8282/2006 IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 893 OF 2000

Hem Raj Jain .. Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Rajasthan .. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Taken on board.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner/applicant.

In our view, no case for condonation of delay in filing
restoration application is made out. Accordingly, application for
condonation of delay in filing restoration application is dismissed.
Consequently, application for restoration of appeal is also dismissed.

.J

.....

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

J

.....

[P.P. NAOLEKAR]

.....J
[LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA]

NEW DELHI,
AUGUST 17,2006.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRL.M.P. NOS. 8281 & 8282/2006 IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 893 OF 2000

Hem Raj Jain .. Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Rajasthan .. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Taken on board.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner/applicant.

In our view, no case for condonation of delay in filing
restoration application is made out. Accordingly, application for
condonation of delay in filing restoration application is dismissed.
Consequently, application for restoration of appeal is also dismissed.

.....
.J
[B.N. AGRAWAL]
.....

J

[P.P. NAOLEKAR]

.....J

[LOKESHWAR SINGH PANTA]

NEW DELHI ,

AUGUST 17,2006.