

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. _____ OF 2026
(@ Diary No.9476 of 2026)

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NOS.32015-32016 OF 2025

BASANAGOWDA & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SUGUNA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Application for oral hearing is rejected.
3. We have gone through the Review Petition(s) and the connected papers filed therewith. In our opinion, no case for review of order is made out. The review petitions are dismissed.
4. Pending application (s), if any, shall stand disposed of in terms of the above order.

.....J.
(SANJAY KAROL)

.....J.
(NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 17, 2026.

ITEM NO.1002

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s).9476/2026

BASANAGOWDA & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SUGUNA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

Barred by time by 40 days.

IA No. 50164/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION

IA No. 50163/2026 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 17-03-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

By Circulation

Upon perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Application for oral hearing is rejected.
3. The Review Petitions are dismissed in terms of the signed order, which is placed on the file.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(D. NAVEEN)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANU BHALLA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)