

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6965 OF 2004

DATTATRAYE @ DATTOPANT Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DATTA (D) BY LRS. Respondent(s)

Date: 12/07/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Appellant(s) Mr. Amit Kumar Mittal, Adv.
Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. M. Qamaruddin, Adv.
Mrs M. Qamaruddin, Adv.
Mr. Ambar Qamaruddin, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
No costs.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6965 OF 2004

DATTATRAYE @ DATTOPANT Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DATTA (D) BY LRS. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard.

The facts have already been set out in the impugned judgment dated 04.03.2003 passed in Letters Patent Appeal No. 114 of 2003 and in the judgment passed in Writ Petition No. 1584 of 1988 by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad and hence we are not repeating the same

here.

After having gone through the impugned judgment and having perused the record, we are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the impugned judgment and hence it does not call for our interference. We order accordingly.

Having no merit, the Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

No costs.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

NEW DELHI;
JULY 12, 2011

.....J.
(CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD)