

F8

W.P(C)No. 207 OF 1998

ITEM No.32Court No. 1

SECTION X

A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.207 of 1998

M/S.MANICKAM ENTERPRISES Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.N. & ORS Respondent (s)

With
W.P(C)No.129/1998
(With office report)

W.P(C)No.13/2002

W.P(C)No.155/1998

W.P(C)No.157/1998

W.P(C)No.195/1999
(With appln. for directions)

W.P(C)No.208/1998

W.P(C)No.242/1998

W.P(C)No.280/2000

W.P(C)No.359/1998

W.P(C)No.48/1999

W.P(C)No.503/1998
(With office report)

W.P(C)No.602/1998
(With office report)

W.P(C)No.610/1998
(With office report)

W.P(C)No.611/1998
(With office report)

W.P(C)No.675/1997

W.P(C)No.9/1999

...2/-

Date : 24/11/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

For Petitioner (s)Mr. K.V. Vijayakumar,Adv.

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Mani, Adv.

Mr. K. Parasaran, Sr.Adv.
Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.
Ms. T.S. Santhi, Adv.
Ms. Aarthi Radhakrishnan, Adv.
Mr. A.T.M. Sampath, Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad.,Adv.
Ms. Chitra Venkataraman, Adv.

Mr. K.N. Raval, SG
Mr. Rajiv Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash,Adv.

Mr. K.R. Nagaraja, Adv.

Mr. G. Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. Prem Malhotra, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Jena, Adv.

Mr. G.B. Sathe, Adv.

Mr. V. Krishna Murthy, Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Ms. Revathy Raghavan, Adv.

Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

Mr. B.B. Singh, Adv.

Mr. M.N . Shroff, Adv.

Mr. P.R. Ramasesh, Adv.

Mr. B. Sen, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Dilip Sinha, Adv.
for M/s. Sinha and Das,Adv.

Mr. S.K. Agnihotri, Adv.

Mr. N. Ganpathy, Adv.

Mr. K.Ram Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

Ms. Krishna Sarma, Adv.

Mr. V.K. Sidharthan, Adv.

Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv.

State of Karnataka Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

W.P.(C) No. 207 of 1998:

Learned counsel concedes that this petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

W.P.(C) No. 208 of 1998:

Learned counsel concedes that this petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

W.P.(C) No. 611 of 1998:

In view of the fact that the matter has been remanded, this petition is rendered infructuous. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

W.P.(C) No. 610 of 1998:

Learned counsel concedes that this petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

W.P.(C) No. 602 of 1998:

No orders.

W.P.(C) No. 503 of 1998:

No orders.

-4-

W.P.(C) No. 155 of 1998:

No orders.

W.P.(C) No. 129 of 1998:

The petitioner is granted three weeks' time to amend the petition, failing which the petition shall stand dismissed without any further reference to this Court.

W.P.(C) No. 359 of 1998:

The petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

W.P.(C) No. 157 of 1998:

The petitioner is granted three weeks' time to amend the petition, failing which the petition shall stand dismissed without any further reference to this Court.

W.P.(C) No. 242 of 1998:

The petitioner is granted three weeks' time to amend the petition, failing which the petition shall stand dismissed without any further reference to this Court.

W.P.(C) No. 48 of 1999:

Learned counsel concedes that this petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

W.P.(C) No. 9 of 1999:

Learned counsel concedes that this petition is rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such.

W.P.(C) No. 195 of 1999:

List tomorrow along with C.A. Nos. 976-979 of 2001.

W.P.(C) No. 13 of 2002:

List tomorrow along with C.A. Nos. 976-979 of 2001.

W.P.(C) No. 675 of 1997:

The petitioner is granted three weeks' time to amend the petition, failing which the petition shall stand dismissed without any further reference to this Court.

-5-

W.P.(C) No. 280 of 2000:

No orders.

W.P.(C) Nos. 199/98, 565/98, C.A.993/2001, W.P. 156/2002, 181/2003, C.A. 955//2001, W.P. 92/99, 382/99, 420/99, 510/99, 543/99, 146/2000, 278/2000, 407/2002, 30/99 and 426/2002:

List after four weeks.

[Alka Dudeja] [Janki Bhatia]
Court Master Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 207 OF 1998

M/S.MANICKAM ENTERPRISES Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.N. & ORS Respondent (s)

With
W.P. (C) Nos. 208, 611, 610, 359 of 1998, 48 and 9 of 1999.

O R D E R

W.P. (C) Nos. 207, 208, 610 of 1998, 48 and 9 of 1999:

Learned counsel concedes that these petitions are rendered infructuous. In that view of the matter petitions are dismissed as such.

W.P.(C) No. 611 of 1998:

In view of the fact that the matter has been remanded, this petition is rendered infructuous.
It is, accordingly, dismissed.

W.P.(C) No. 359 of 1998:

The petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....CJI.

.....J.
(S.B. Sinha)

.....J.
(Dr. AR. Lakshmanan)

New Delhi,
November 24, 2003.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 129 OF 1998

M/S.WIPRO`Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.N. & ORS

Respondent (s)

O R D E R

The petitioner is granted three weeks' time to amend the petition, failing which the petition shall stand dismissed without any further reference to this Court.

.....CJI.

.....J.
(S.B. Sinha)

.....J.
(Dr. AR. Lakshmanan)

New Delhi,
November 24, 2003.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 157 OF 1998

M/S.SRIRAM BEARINGS LTD.Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF T.N. & ORS

Respondent (s)

With
W.P.(C) No. 242 of 1998

O R D E R

The petitioner is granted three weeks' time to amend the petitions, failing which the petitions shall stand dismissed without any further reference to this Court.

.....CJI.

.....J.
(S.B. Sinha)

.....J.

(Dr. AR. Lakshmanan)

New Delhi,
November 24, 2003.