

~
Crl.A.No. 742 OF 1998
ITEM No.114

Court No. 5

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.742 OF 1998

State of Madhya Pradesh Appellant(s)

VERSUS

Ratanlal Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 18/03/2004 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Appellant (s)Mr. Siddhartha Dave,Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Neena Verma) (Vijay Aggarwal)
Court Master Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.742 OF 1998

State of Madhya Pradesh
...Appellant

Versus

Ratanlal
...Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The respondent faced trial for alleged contravention of the provisions of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 (in short 'the Act'). The Trial Court directed acquittal of the respondent-accused and the judgment of acquittal was upheld by the High Court in the appeal filed by the State questioning the acquittal.

On the facts, as noticed by the courts below, the view taken by the Trial Court and the High C

ourt is a possible view, though, it may not be the only view in the matter. Therefore, we do not consider this to be a fit case for interference under Article 136 of the Constitution of India, though some of the conclusions of the High Court prima-facie are not sustainable. The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

(DORAISWAMY RAJU)

.....J.

.....J.

(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

New Delhi,
March 18, 2004.