

ÈITEM NO.102

COURT NO.7

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5423 OF 2004

STATE OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DINENDRA CH. BISWAS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay,permission to file additional documents)

Date: 25/03/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Appellant(s) Mr. Anil Shrivastav,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. P.K.Goswami, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Mehta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order. No costs.

However, the impugned judgment of the High Court shall not operate as a precedent in future.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[signed Order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5423 OF 2004

State of Arunachal Pradesh

..Appellant

versus

Dinendra Ch. Biswas

..Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

On the facts of the case, we are not inclined to

exercise our discretion under Article 136 of the
Constitution of India.

The Civil Appeal is dismissed accordingly. No
costs.

However, the impugned judgment of the High Court
shall not operate as a precedent in future.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 25, 2010

.....J.
[A.K. PATNAIK]