

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO. 386 OF 2011

JAYA PANDEY @ PATHAK

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANJAY KUMAR PATHAK

Respondent(s)

(With appln. for stay and office report)

Date: 25/11/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddhartha Chowdhury, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 386 OF 2011

Jaya Pandey @ Pathak
Versus
Sanjay Kumar Pathak

...Petitioner

...Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We have gone through the grievance expressed by the petitioner and we accept the same. petition being H.M.A. No.1205 of 2010 titled as "Sanjay Kumar Pathak vs. Jaya Pandey" pending before the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon, is transferred to the Court of District Judge at Alipore, West Bengal.

Therefore, the

ordered to be

The District Judge is directed either to decide himself or assign it to a competent jurisdiction.

Court having

The transfer petition is allowed accordingly.

After transfer of the petition, the transferee court is directed to take all endeavour either for amicable settlement or for early decision in the main matter without unnecessary adjournments.

.....J.
(P. SATHASIVAM)

.....J.
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

New Delhi,
November 25, 2011.