

ITEM NO.28

COURT NO.5

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11310/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 02/02/2012 in CWP No.1669/2012  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

MANJIT SINGH AUJLA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )

Date: 23/04/2012                      This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. J.M. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Harsh Vardhan Surana,AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

This petition is directed against order dated 2.2.2012 passed by the learned Single Judge of the Punjab and Haryana High Court, whereby the writ petition filed by the petitioner for issue of a mandamus to the respondents to get further investigation into the cases registered against him at different places in Punjab conducted through the Central Bureau of Investigation (for short 'CBI') and to grant immunity to him against arrest in the cases to be registered in future was dismissed.

2

We have heard Shri Jitendra Mohan Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

In our view, the writ petition filed by the petitioner was thoroughly misconceived and the learned Single Judge was fully justified in dismissing the same at the threshold. The only error committed by the learned

Single Judge was not to saddle the petitioner with exemplary costs for filing frivolous petition and, thereby, wasting the valuable time of the Court.

The record of the case shows that the petitioner is already an accused in five cases instituted at Ropar, Chandigarh and Kapurthala. Another case registered against him at Lucknow was investigated by the CBI.

In our view, the attempt made by the petitioner to prevent further registration of cases against him and to get blanket immunity against his arrest was rightly repelled by the High Court.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

For filing a frivolous writ petition before the High Court and special leave petition before this Court, the petitioner is saddled with costs of rupees fifty thousand which he shall deposit with the Supreme Court Legal Services Committee within a period of one month from today.

(Parveen Kr.Chawla)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master