

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 21390/2013

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 04/12/2012 in FA No. 437/2012 passed by the National Consumers Disputes Reddressal Commission, New Delhi)

RAJARAM R. GANBOTE & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MAX NEW YORK LIFE INSURANCE CO.LTD.&ORS.  
(Office report on default)

Respondent(s)

Date : 12/01/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI  
[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Aparna Jha,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. B. Vijayalakshmi Menon,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioners informs the court that though process is filed for service upon respondent no. 3, in fact respondent no. 3 was working as Manager of respondent no. 1/Insurance Company. She further submits that respondent no. 1 has even filed the counter affidavit in the matter and the Special Leave Petition pertains to grant of compensation in a life insurance claim.

It is clear from the above that respondent no. 3 was arrayed as party in the courts below only in his capacity as Manager of respondent no. 1 which is the main contesting party. Therefore, matter can be proceeded with even in the absence of respondent no. 3.

The case be listed before the appropriate Bench in due course.

(Ashwani Kumar)  
COURT MASTER

(Pardeep Kumar)  
AR-cum-PS