

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1030 OF 2002

RAMANLAL

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN

RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1031 OF 2002

O R D E R

These appeals have been preferred by the appellants against the impugned order dated 5.4.2000 passed by the High Court dismissing the application filed under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing the criminal complaint.

When these appeals by special leave filed, the State of Gujarat sought protection of its officer from prosecution on the ground that they had not sanctioned the prosecution. They had sought protection of their officer.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the State of Gujarat, points out that subsequently, under orders of Gujarat High Court, the State of Gujarat directed prosecution of the same officer in relation to part of the related transactions. Hence, it is not

possible for the State of Gujarat to pursue the matter further.

It is obvious that the State of Gujarat cannot pursue these appeals for protecting the same officer whom they are prosecuting in Gujarat.

Accordingly, these appeals are dismissed.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the State of Gujarat, tendered the copies of charge-sheet in the Court today which are accepted and shall form part of the record.

.....J  
[S. A. BOBDE]

.....J  
[L. NAGESWARA RAO]

.....J  
[R. SUBHASH REDDY]

NEW DELHI;  
DECEMBER 05, 2018.

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.4

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s).1030/2002

RAMANLAL

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for impleadment/intervention)

WITH Cr1.A. No.1031/2002 (II)

(With appln.(s) for seeking vacation of interim order)

Date : 05-12-2018 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Anshuman Srivastava, Adv.  
Ms. Purnima Bhat, AOR

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Maninder Singh, Sr. Adv.  
Mrs. Devanshi Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Prabhas Bajaj, Adv.  
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR

For Respondent(s)/  
Applicant(s)

Mr. Surya Kant, AOR

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR  
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, Adv.  
Ms. Srishti Mishra, Adv.  
For Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Raghavendra S. Srivatsa, Adv.  
Mr. Pai Amit, Adv.  
Mr. Rahat Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Mahesh Jethmalani, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Somnadri Gaur, Adv.  
Mr. Mano Mridl, Adv.  
Mr. Brij Bhushan, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

Pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SANJAY KUMAR-II)  
COURT MASTER (SH)

(INDU KUMARI POKHRIYAL)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed Order is placed on the file)