



1996 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") has been dismissed.

Petitioner before the learned Single Judge had challenged the order dated 21.5.2007 passed by the Additional District Judge, Patiala, whereby the petitioners objections preferred under Section 34 of the Act were considered and rejected.

We have carefully gone through the order passed by the Trial Court as also the order passed by the learned Single Judge, impugned in this petition.

In our considered opinion, no case has been made out for interference against the said orders.

While making the award, the following directions were given by the learned Arbitrator:

- (1) That the parties are bound to act in terms of the order of the General Manager, Northern Railways.
- (2) That the parties shall work out the accounts in terms of the revised interpretation of the scheme given by the General Manager.
- (3) That the parties shall bear their own

3

costs of these proceedings."

Admittedly, as per direction no.2 mentioned hereinabove, parties have to work out accounts in terms of the revised interpretation of the scheme given by the General Manager. This exercise has not yet been done by the parties.

It is also pertinent to mention here that at the time of issuance of notice by this Court, petitioner (Railway Board) was directed to deposit a sum of Rupees One Hundred Fifty Crores in a Nationalised Bank, which they have done on 7.12.2010 with the State Bank of India, Chandni Chowk, Delhi. The period of one year has since not yet expired.

In the light of this, we leave it to the

parties to complete the exercise as contemplated under

aforesaid direction no.2 given by learned Arbitrator.

Thereafter, the amount which is found due to the  
respondent shall be either adjusted or paid from the  
aforesaid amount.

Interlocutory application for impleadment  
stands rejected. Special leave petition stands finally  
disposed of but with no order as to costs.

(A.D. Sharma)  
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)  
Court Master