

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(Civil)...CC4574/2001
(From the judgement and order dated 25/05/1999 in WP 613/99
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD AT LUCKNOW BENCH)

DHINRENDRA KUMAR PACHAURI Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.P. RAJKIYA NIGAM LTD., LUCKNOW & ORS. Respondent (s)

With I.A. 1 (for c/delay in filing SLP)
(With Office Report)

Date : 20/07/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. KIRPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Sunil Gupta, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

Delay condoned.

In the present case, the petitioner was on deputation from the State of Rajasthan in 1993. The parent department gave its consent to the absorption of the petitioner in the U.P. Rajkiya Nirman Nigam to which he had been sent on deputation. Despite no objection certificate having been granted, the petitioner was not absorbed. As the petitioner was not absorbed, by a letter of December, 1998 the parent department terminated the

.....2/-

: 2 :

period of deputation and ordered his posting in the parent department. This letter was challenged in the writ petition filed but the same was dismissed by the High Court. It seems that the petitioner did not join in the parent department despite the order of December, 1998 and

