

-ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.6

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 388 OF 2011

AKILA RAMANATHAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M.S. MADHU SUNDAR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 29/07/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Bhumika Taluja, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gaichangpou G, Adv.for
Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the
signed order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satiya)
Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO.388 OF 2011

Akila Ramanathan ..Petitioner

versus

M.S. Madhu Sundar ..Respondent

O R D E R

This Petition has been filed by the wife seeking
transfer of Divorce Petition being O.P. No.105 of 2011
pending before the Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at
L.B.Nagar, Hyderabad to the Family Court at Trichy in the
State of Tamil Nadu.

Notice was ordered on 11th April, 2011 and further

proceedings in the aforesaid case were stayed.

Respondent has filed the counter affidavit.

Having gone through the pleadings of the case and having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the opinion that the aforesaid case be transferred from Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, Hyderabad to Family Court at Chennai, Tamil Nadu instead of transferring at Trichy, Tamil Nadu. We order accordingly.

The Family Court, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar, Hyderabad shall forthwith transfer the entire record relating to the aforesaid case to the Family Court at Chennai, Tamil Nadu.

The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI;J.
JULY 29, 2011 [CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD]