

Æ

Crl.A.No. 1127 OF 1999
Item No.101

COURT NO. 10

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1127 OF 1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Shaileshbhai C. Bodiwala

Appellant

Versus

State of Gujarat

Respondent

WITH

Crl.A.Nos.1128/1999,835/2001 & 941/2002

[With applns.for intervention, exemption from filing O.T.,
permission to place additional documents on record & office
report]

Dated: 25/09/2002: These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For appellant(s)
in Crl.A.Nos.
1127 & 1128/1999
Mr.Maganbhai Barot,Sr.Adv.
M/s Mahesh Agarwal,Rishi Agarwal,
Manu Krishnan,Alok Agrawal,
EC Agrawala,Ashwini Kumar,Advs.

in Crl.A.835/2001
M/s Anant V.Palli,Atul Sharma,
(Mrs) Rekha Palli,Advs.

in Crl.A.941/2002
M/s Raja Venkatappa Naik,
VK Ravi,Jaswant Singh,
Rameshwar Prasad Goyal,Advs.

For respondent(s)/
State of Gujarat
Mr.Mahendra Anand,Sr.Adv.
Ms.Hemantika Wahi,Adv.
Ms.Sadhana Sandhu,Adv.

For Intervenor/
Complainant
M/s MN Shroff,Sanjay Jain,
Chirag M.Shroff,Advs.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Mr.Maganbhai Barot, learned senior counsel for the appellants in Crl.A.Nos.1127 & 1128/1999 commenced his arguments in the morning and concluded at 2.45 p.m. Thereafter, Mr.AV Palli, learned counsel in Crl.A.No.835/2001 and Mr.Raja Venkatappa Naik, learned counsel in Crl.A.No.941/2002 argued for five minutes each adopting the

2

arguments of Mr.Barot. Mr.Mahendra Anand, learned senior counsel for the State replied upto 3.00 p.m.

The appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order. In view of dismissal of the appeals, the intervention

applications have become infructuous and are hereby dismissed.

.SP1

(Naresh Kumar)
Court Master

(Janki Bhatia)
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]