

for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Bhakti Vardhan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Parveen Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Anubha Agrawal, Adv.
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.

Mr. M. Sufian Siddiqui, Adv.
Mr. M. Tabish Zia, Adv.
Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai, Adv.

Mr. Gyanendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ambrish Kumar, Adv.
for Vishwa Pal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Govind Narayan, Adv.
for Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

Mr. Gyanendra Singh, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

Mr. Gagan Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv. (NP)

Mr. K.K. Mohan, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Deepak Goel, Adv.

For Petitioner(s)/ Ms. Anubha Agrawal, Adv.
Respondent(s) Mr. Ambhuj Agrawal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Govind Goel, Adv.
SLP(C) 9250/13 Mr. Sanjay Kumar Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

3

Ms. Gunjan Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Subhasish Bhowmick, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Kataria, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Balbir Singh Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Basava Prabhu S Pail, Adv.
Mr. John Mathew, Adv.

Mr. Govind Goel, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.
Mr. Mohan Lal Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. A. Tewari, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay in filing the special leave petition, if
any, is condoned.

Delay in filing the application for

substitution, if any, is/are condoned.

Application(s) for substitution, if any, is/are allowed.

SLP(C) No. 26147 of 2011

The present special leave petition is directed against the orders passed by a Division Bench of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh in LPA No. 897 of 2011, dated 20.05.2011, whereby and whereunder the Division Bench refused to interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Judge issuing certain directions to the petitioner herein, that is Haryana Urban Development Authority, (for short "the HUDA").

By the impugned judgment and order, the
4

Division Bench of the High Court upheld the direction to the HUDA to consider the claim of the respondent herein for the allotment of a plot in accordance with the former's policy.

It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the issues raised in the present special leave petition is covered by another judgment of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana as upheld by this Court. Therefore, in our considered opinion, we need not to go into the details of the case. The special leave petition can be disposed of on a very short ground that would satisfy the interest of both the parties.

Accordingly, we dispose of this special leave petition with a direction that if the respondent's application is pending for consideration for allotment of a plot under the Oustees quota, the same shall be considered by the HUDA in accordance with law and in the light of the judgment and order passed by the High court in the case of the Haryana Urban Development Authority & Ors. vs. Sandeep & Ors., L.P.A. No. 2096 of 2011 etc., dated 25.04.2012, within eight weeks' time from the date of receipt of copy of this Court's order.

SLP(C) No. 20976 of 2012

In view of order passed in SLP(C) No. 26147 of 2011, this special leave petition is also disposed of in same terms, directions and observations.

5

SLP(C) Nos. 5285, 9250, 15906, 10688 & 21614 of 2013

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner(s) herein, in the respective matters, would pray that this Court issue directions to the HUDA to consider the application(s) said to have been filed by the said petitioner(s) for allotment of a plot under the Oustees quota.

In our considered opinion, the request of the petitioner(s) appears to be reasonable and, if granted, it would not cause any prejudice to the respondents.

In view of the above, we dispose of these special leave petitions with a direction that if the petitioners' application(s) are pending for consideration for allotment of a plot under the Oustees quota, the same shall be considered by the HUDA in accordance with law and in the light of the judgment and order passed by the High Court in the case of the Haryana Urban Development Authority & Ors. vs. Sandeep & Ors. (supra) within six months' time from the date of receipt of copy of this Court's order.

SLP(C) 34093 of 2013

Learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition with liberty to the petitioners to file review petition before the High Court.

Permission sought for is granted.

The special

6

leave petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate review petition before the High Court within thirty days' time from today. If the review petition is filed within the stipulated time, we request the High Court to consider the same on merits in accordance with law and without reference to the period of limitation.

SLP(C) Nos. 6186-6187 of 2014, 23754 of 2012, 26423 of 2012, 30745 of 2012, 8766-8767 of 2013

De-tagged. To be listed separately in the normal course.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master

[Vinod Kulvi]
Asstt. Registrar