

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.10340/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 01/05/2001 in CMWP 317/2000
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

DIR./APPOINTING AUTHY.G.B.P.SPL.S.I.&ANR

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

R.K. SINGH

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)
(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing O.T.)

Date : 07/01/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIAK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S. Muralidhar, Adv.
Mr. S.Vallinayagam, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. A. Sharan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

The moot question for consideration in this special leave petition is, whether after due notice the delinquent having been set ex parte in the inquiry proceedings, the Inquiring Officer still obliged to notice the delinquent in the further proceedings of the inquiry ?

Mr. Ramachandran, the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners, says that there is no obligation on the part of the Inquiring to indicate the

further dates of the inquiry to the delinquent once the delinquent is set ex parte in the inquiry proceedings. Mr. Ramachandran undertakes to support this contention with authorities.

Put up this matter after four weeks.

.SP1

(J.S. Rawat)
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)
Court Master