

ITEM NO.13

COURT NO.13

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).241/2011

VIKRAM KAPOOR THR.PROP.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Office report on default)

Date : 21/07/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

[IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ujjal Singh, Adv.
Mr. J.P. Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. C. Kaushik ,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

By order dated 14.02.2011, while dismissing the special leave petition of the petitioner, this Court granted three months' time for vacating the suit premises upon filing usual undertaking in the Registry of this Court within four weeks.

By order dated 12.05.2011, a three-Judge Bench of this Court observed that instead of vacating the premises within the stipulated period in view of this Court's order dated 14.02.2011, the petitioner has moved a petition under Article 32 of the

Signature Not Verified

Constitution of India challenging the vires of law. This Court Digitally signed by Sanjay Kumar
Date: 2014.07.23
16:47:13 IST
Reason:

further observed that this is a clear abuse of the process of the Court. Accordingly, the writ petition was dismissed with cost of

2

Rs.10,000/- to be paid to the Supreme Court Employees Mutual

Welfare Fund within four weeks from that date, otherwise the amount shall be recovered as arrears of land revenue. This Court, again, by its order dated 19.08.2011, granted two weeks' further time to the petitioner to deposit the cost.

Since the petitioner has not complied with the orders of this court directing to deposit the cost of Rs.10,000/-, the learned Chambers Judge by his order dated 24.09.2012, on the statement of counsel for the petitioner that he is unable to contact the petitioner for depositing the cost, directed the Registry to put up a note as to what procedure to be followed to recover the costs from the Writ Petitioner in case he does not deposit the same.

There is nothing on record to show that the Registry has complied with this Court's Order dated 24.09.2012.

The Registry is directed to comply with this Court's Order dated 24.09.2012 within two weeks from today and put up a note as to what procedure to be followed to recover the costs from the Writ Petitioner in case he does not deposit the same, failing which a serious view shall be taken by the Court.

List the matter thereafter.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(MALA KUMARI SHARMA)
COURT MASTER