

æ

CrI.A.No. 247 OF 1999  
ITEM No.102

Court No. 4

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.247 OF 1999

CENTRAL BUREAU OF NARCOTICS Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAMPRASAD Respondent (s)

(with office report)

Date : 29/04/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Appellant(s)Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv. for  
Mr. VK Verma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)Mrs. Shiel Sethi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is rejected as having rendered infructuous in terms of the signed order.

(D.L.Chugh) (Vijay Aggarwal)  
AR-cum-PS Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.247 OF 1999

CENTRAL BUREAU OF NARCOTICSAppellant(s)

versus

RAMPRASADRespondent (s)

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side.

It is represented by the learned counsel for the respondent that after the conclusion of trial the respondent has been acquitted by the trial court and the subject matter is said to be pending in appeal before the High Court. In the light of the above subsequent developments and having regard to the fact that this is an appeal against the grant of bail by the High Court pending trial by the trial court, nothing further survives for consideration by this Court. The appeal shall stand accordingly rejected, as having been rendered infructuous.

.....J.  
(DORAISWAMY RAJU)

.....J.  
(ARIJIT PASAYAT)

New Delhi,  
APRIL 29, 2004