

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRLMP.NO. 49-50 & 51-52/2005 in CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 1265-1266 OF 2004

ARVIND MOHAN JOHARI & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(for directions and clarification/modification of Court's order and office report)

Date: 09/12/2005 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Applicant(s)/

Mr.D.K.Garg, Adv.

Petitioner(s)/

Respondent(s)

Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Adv.

Mr.Sheetal Prasad Juneja, Adv.

Mr.Amit Kumar, Adv.

Mr.P.Venugopal, Adv.

Mr.Harsheed V. Hameed, Adv.

Mr. Venu Kumar, Adv.

Mr.R.Mohan, ASG

Mr.V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Mr.Saveer Mehlwal, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr.Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mrs. Rohina Nath, Adv.

Mr. Javaid Muzaffar, Adv.

Mr. Umesh Kumar Khaitan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In view of the fact that vide order dated 4.5.2005 this Court has recalled the order dated 3.11.2004, we are of the opinion that no order need be passed on these applications. The applicants would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedies available to them in law before appropriate Forum.

(Meenu Sethi)
rdwaj)
Court Master

(Pushap Lata Bha
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRLMP. NOS. 49-50 & 51-52

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL Nos. 1265-1266/2004

Arvind Mohan Johari & Anr.
Appellants

... App

VERSUS

State of U.P. & Anr.
Respondents

... Res

O R D E R

In view of the fact that vide order dated 4.5.2005 this Court has recalled the order dated 3.11.2004, we are of the opinion that no order need be passed on these

applications. The applicants would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedies

available to them in law before appropriate Forum.

.....J.

(S.B.SINHA)

.....J.

(P.K.BALASUBRAMANYAN)

New Delhi,

December 9, 2005.