

S U P R E M E      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 4/2009      in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7422 OF 1999

SANDEEP SINGH

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA &amp; ANR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for clarification and office report )

Date: 09/02/2010      This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARJIT SINGH BEDI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. P.N.Mishra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Adv.  
Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. S.K. Sabharwal, Adv.  
Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This application has been filed by the State of  
Haryana making the following prayer:

"i) clarify the judgment dated 9/11/2000 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Civil Appeal No. 7422 of 1999, as to how the Appellants therein are to fitted in the cadre i.e. as per Service Rules & Instructions, thereby giving them fitment as per their position in the joint/ common merit list prepared by HPSC and;

-2-

ii) Pass any other or further order/s which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

The learned counsel for the opposite party has no objection to the abovesaid prayer being allowed as per

clause 1(i).

I.A.No.4 is disposed of accordingly.

[SUMAN WADHWA]  
COURT MASTER

[VINOD KULVI]  
COURT MASTER

Signed order is placed on the file.  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A.No. 4

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7422 OF 1999

SANDEEP SINGH .. APPELLANT(S)

vs.

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR. .. RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

This application has been filed by the State of Haryana making the following prayer:

"i) clarify the judgment dated 9/11/2000 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Civil Appeal No. 7422 of 1999, as to how the Appellants therein are to fitted in the cadre i.e. as per Service Rules & Instructions, thereby giving them fitment as per their position in the joint/common merit list prepared by HPSC and;

ii) Pass any other or further order/s which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

-2-

The learned counsel for the opposite party has no objection to the abovesaid prayer being allowed as per clause 1(i).

I.A.No.4 is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.  
(HARJIT SINGH BEDI)

.....J.  
(C.K. PRASAD)

New Delhi,  
February 9, 2010.