

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.9

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. Nos. 5-9/2013 in Civil Appeal No(s). 7422/1999

SANDEEP SINGH & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR

Respondent(s)

(for permission to file appln. for direction and office report)

Date : 12/09/2014 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav,Adv.

Mr. Yash Pal Dhingra,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sunil Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Adv.

Mr. S. K. Sabharwal,Adv.

Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG

Ms. Nupur Choudhary, Adv.

Ms. Vivekta Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.

IA 7

Mr. V.K. Bali, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Aditya Soni, Adv.

Mr. Christino Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shree Pal Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Applications are disposed of in terms of the  
signed order.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)

COURT MASTER

(INDU BALA KAPUR)

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NOS. 5-9/2013

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 7422/1999

SANDEEP SINGH & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The applicants are aggrieved by clarificatory order dated 9.2.2010 passed by this Court, clarifying the final judgment dated 9.11.2000. In any case, we are informed that the writ petitions are filed in the High Court as well. However, in the applications which were filed by other parties and in which clarificatory order was made, these applicants were not parties and, therefore, they can always raise a plea in the High Court that said order is not binding upon them.

With these observations, these applications are disposed of. We may further clarify that we have not entered into the merits of the applications.

.....J.  
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

.....J.  
(A.K. SIKRI)

NEW DELHI  
SEPTEMBER 12, 2014.