

Ê

ITEM NO.37

COURT NO.5

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).3465/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 27/10/2009 in CRLA No.488/1998, of The HIGH COURT OF M.P AT GWALIOR)

STATE OF M.P.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HARIOM

Respondent(s)

(Office Report on default)

Date: 20/07/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA  
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.  
Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Office Report dated 22.03.2012 reflects that learned counsel for Petitioner/State has not taken steps like Dasti/substituted service with the result fresh notice could not be issued to the sole Respondent.

At the request of learned counsel for Petitioner/State, issue fresh notice upon the unserved Respondent.

Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

Four weeks' time is granted to the learned counsel for Petitioner/State to file proof of dasti service duly supported by an Affidavit.

| (Sanjay Kumar-II)  
| Master

Court

| (S.S.R. Krishna)  
| Court Master