

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

R E V I E W P E T I T I O N (C) N O (s) . 1 3 1 4 O F 2 0 1 3
I N
S L P (C) N O . 5 2 0 / 2 0 1 3

SUNIL DUTT Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With application for condonation of delay in filing review petition)

Date: 17/07/2013 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

By Circulation

53

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

	Delay condoned.		
	The review petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.		
	[KALYANI GUPTA]		[SHARDA KAPOOR]
	COURT MASTER		COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]

I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

R E V I E W P E T I T I O N (C) N O . 1 3 1 4 O F 2 0 1 3
I N
S P E C I A L L E A V E P E T I T I O N (C) N o . 5 2 0 O F 2 0 1 3

SUNIL DUTT PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS. RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

2. The present Review Petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking review of our order dated 3rd January, 2013.
3. We have perused the grounds urged in the review petition and

the connected documents.

4. We do not find any error in the order impugned, much less an error apparent on the face of the record, so as to call for our interference to review the aforesaid order of this Court.

5. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J
[A.K. PATNAIK]

.....J
[H.L. GOKHALE]

NEW DELHI
JULY 17, 2013.