

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4408 OF 2004

GROWTH TECHNO PROJECTS LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

CUSTODIAN & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to submit additional document(s) and office report)

Date: 01/02/2007 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.P. NAOLEKAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. K. Ramamoorthy, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Prabhjit Jauhar, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Jauhar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. A.K. Tewari, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Tewari, Adv.

for Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The civil appeal is disposed of.

No costs.

[ T.I. Rajput ]

[ Khushi Ram ]

A.R.-cum-P.S.

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4408 OF 2004

Growth Techno Projects Limited

...

Appellant(s)

Versus

Custodian & Ors.

(s)

...Respondent

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

By the impugned order, an interim direction has been

given during the pendency of Miscellaneous Case No.9 of 1992 by the learned Special Court (Trial of Offences relating to Transactions in Securities). The interim order has remained in force for a period of more than two years. In view of this, we are of the opinion that the main case itself should be decided within a time-schedule. Accordingly, the Special Court is directed to dispose of Miscellaneous Case No.9 of 1992, pending before it, within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of the copy of this order without being prejudiced by any observation made in the impugned order.

The civil appeal is, accordingly, disposed of.

No costs.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[P.P. NAOLEKAR]

New Delhi,

February 01, 2007.