

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NOS.3-4 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO.4745 OF 2006

JAI PRAKASH ASSOCIATES LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For directions, impleadment, exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

With I.A. Nos.1-2 in Civil Appeal No.4990 of 2006
(For stay, exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

I.A. Nos.3-4 in Civil Appeal No.4991 of 2006
(For directions, exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

I.A. Nos.2-4 in Civil Appeal No.4992/2006
(For stay, exemption from filing O.T. and intervention/impleadment)

I.A. Nos.1-4 in Civil Appeal No.4993 of 2006
(For stay and direction)

I.A. Nos.3-4 in Civil Appeal No.2055/2009
(For direction/stay and exemption from filing O.T.)

Date: 22/11/2010 These Matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. PANICKER RADHAKRISHNAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Appellant(s)
In CA 4745/2006: Mr. S.B. Upadhyay, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Puneet Parihar, Adv.
 Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.

In CA 4990/2006: Mr. Bhaskar P. Gupta, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.

...2/-

- 2 -

In CA 4991/2006: Mr. A.K. Ganguli, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Bharat Sangal, Adv.
 Ms. Alka Singh, Adv.

In CA 4992/2006: Mr. Akshat Shrivastava, Adv.
 Mr. P.P. Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Inderjeet Yadav, Adv.

In CA 4993/2006: Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.
 Mr. Mohit Paul, Adv.
 Ms. Shagun Matta, Adv.

In CA 2055/2009: Mr. L.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
 Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Adv.
 Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.
 Mr. Gaurav Goel, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vivek Tankha, ASG.

Mr. B.S. Banthia,Adv.
Mr. Vikas Upadhyay,Adv.
Mr. Rishabh Sancheti,Adv.

Mr. Parag P. Tripathi,ASG.
Mr. T.S. Doabia,Sr.Adv.
Ms. Arti Gupta,Adv.
Mr. A. Deb Kumar,Adv.
Ms. Asha G. Nair,Adv.
Mr. V.K. Biju,Adv.
Ms. Arti Gupta,Adv.
Mr. Kunal Bahri,Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra,Adv.
Mr. V.K. Verma,Adv.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Ashwarya Sinha,Adv.
Mr. Ambhoj Kumar Sinha,Adv.

For Intervenor(s) Mr. T.N. Rao,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

There is a controversy as to whether the assesseees have been served with the Assessment Orders. Prima facie, it appears that they have been served. Mr. A.K. Ganguli, learned senior counsel, however, wants to check the position.

...3/-

- 3 -

The matters shall stand over for two weeks.

We direct the State to submit a Chart in terms of the Assessment Orders served on each of the assesseees.

Place I.A. Nos.27-35/2007 in Civil Appeal Nos.4056-4064 of 1999 and I.A. Nos.9-11/2007 in Civil Appeal Nos.4722-4724 of 1999 also along with these matters on the next date of hearing.

[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Madhu Saxena]
Assistant Registrar