

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).12645/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 23/08/2002 in CWP No. 1791/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJ KARAN

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

SLP(C) NO. 22908 of 2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

SLP(C) NO. 22909 of 2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

SLP(C) NO. 24067 of 2003

(With appln(s) for stay and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 19735 of 2005

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

SLP(C) NO. 368 of 2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and exemption from filing O.T. and Annexures P-1 and P-2 and with prayer for interim relief)

(FOR FINAL DISPOSAL)

SLP(C) NO. 25916 of 2004

(With appln(s) for c/delay in refiling SLP and with prayer for interim relief)

Date: 25/11/2005 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

1

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ajay Siwach, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Manjeet Singh, Adv.

Ms. Vivekta Singh, Adv.

Mr. Hariksh Singh, Adv.

Mr. T.V.George, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

Mrs. Sumita Ray, Adv.

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

SLP (C.) Nos. 22908/2003

Mr. Amit Mahajan, Adv.

& 22909/2003

Mr. D.N. Ray, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Ray, Adv.

SLP (C.) No. 19735/2005

Dr. Ramesh K. Haritash, Adv.

Mr. Dharam Singh, Adv.

Mr. Dheeraj Chawla, Adv.

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

SLP(C.) No. 368/2003 &

Dr. Ramesh K. Haritash, Adv.

SLP (C.) No. 25916/2004

Mr. Dharam Singh, Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted in this and the connected matters.

Along with the main appeal there are other petitions tagged involving the same issue. We are informed that in some cases operation of the judgment of the High Court has been stayed, while in some cases there is no stay order. In order to maintain uniformity in the order in all the cases, we direct that there shall be no stay of the

2

operation of the impugned judgment of the High Court. This is subject to the statement made on behalf of the respondents by their counsel in all the cases that in the event of success of the appeals filed by the State of Haryana in this Court, the extra amount paid to the respondents may be recovered from them and the said recovery can be from the salaries payable to them. To this extent, stay orders granted in cases shall stand vacated.

Let the appeals be heard and decided at an early date.

(RAJESH DHAM)

COURT MASTER

(PHOOLAN WATI ARORA )

COURT MASTER

