

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S
C I V I L A P P E A L N O (s) . 7 1 5 5 O F 2 0 0 5

S T A T E O F H A R Y A N A & O R S .
V E R S U S

A p p e l l a n t (s)

R A J K A R A N

R e s p o n d e n t (s)

(With office report)

W I T H C . A . N O . 1 8 2 1 / 2 0 0 6 , C . A . N O . 1 9 0 9 / 2 0 0 6 , C . A . N O . 2 6 6 0 / 2
0 0 6 , C . A . N O .
3 0 2 6 / 2 0 0 6 , C . A . N O . 4 4 8 3 / 2 0 0 6 , C . A . N O . 4 6 2 / 2 0 0 7 , C . A . N O . 7 1 5 4 / 2
0 0 5 , C . A .
N O . 7 1 5 6 / 2 0 0 5 , C . A . N O . 7 1 5 9 / 2 0 0 5 , C . A . N O . 7 1 6 1 / 2 0 0 5 , C . A . N O . 7
1 6 3 / 2 0 0 5 ,
C . A . N O . 7 2 0 7 / 2 0 0 5 (With appln.(s) for stay and for exemption from filing OT and
office report)

Date: 3 1 / 0 7 / 2 0 0 7 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

C O R A M : H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E H . K . S E M A
H O N ' B L E M R . J U S T I C E D . K . J A I N

For Appellant(s) Mr. Manjeet Singh, AAG
Mr. Arvind Ku m a r Gupta, Adv.
Mr. T.V.George, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. R. K . K a p o o r , Adv.
Mr. M.K. Verm a , Adv.
Mr. Anis Ahmed Kh an , Adv.

Mr. Amit Mahaj a n , Adv.
Mr. D.N. Ray, Adv.
Mrs. Sumita Ray, Adv.

Dr. Ra mes h K. Harita shi , Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Bha rdwaj , Adv.
Mr. Anil K. Shar m a , Adv.
Dr. Kaila s h Chand , Adv.

Mrs. V. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Yashpal Rangi, Adv.
Mr. Ka m a l Mohan Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(R A V I P . V E R M A)
C O U R T M A S T E R

(A N A N D S I N G H)
C O U R T M A S T E R

[Signed order is placed on the file]
I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 7 1 5 5 O F 2 0 0 5

S T A T E O F H A R Y A N A & O R S .

.....A P P E L L A N T (S)

Versus

R A J K A R A N
)

.....R E S P O N D E N T (S)

OR D E R

The impugned order of the High court assailed in this appeal was based on the decision of the Division Bench of the High Court in the case of Ra m Kish a n & three Ors . Vs. State of Haryan a in CW P No.7 9 2 8 / 1 9 9 3 decided on 1 st December 1 9 9 3 . Against the said decision, the State preferred an SL P . The SL P of the State was dismissed by this Court on 1 5 / 0 3 / 1 9 9 6 . The High Court also relied on the decision in the case of one Labh Singh. Still worse, no appeal has been preferred before this Court. It has attained finality. Since the SL P against Ra m Kish a n's case has been dismissed by this court on the basis of which the present impugned order has been passed, we see no reason to interfere. Th e appeals, being devoid of merit, are, accordingly, dismissed.

.2.

CIVI L A P P E A L NOS. 7 1 6 3 / 2 0 0 5 , 7 1 5 9 / 2 0 0 5 , 7 1 6 1 / 2 0 0 5 , 7 2 0 7 / 2 0 0 5 , 715 6 / 2 0 0 5 , 715 4 / 2 0 0 5 , 190 9 / 2 0 0 6 , 182 1 / 2 0 0 6 , 302 6 / 2 0 0 6 , 448 3 / 2 0 0 6 & 462/ 2 0 0 7

In view of our afores aid order in C.A. No.7 1 5 5 / 2 0 0 5 , these appeals are dismissed.

..... J.
(H.K. S E M A)

New Delhi;
July 3 1, 2 0 0 7 .

.....J .
(D.K. JA I N)