

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION III

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR PRAVEEN KUMAR

Civil Appeal No(s). 4950-4951/2012

M/S HONDA SIEL CARS INDIA LIMITED
Appellant(s)

Appellant

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA
Respondent(s)

Respondent

Date : 15/12/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. Prashanth S. Shivadass, Adv.
Mr. M. P. Devanath, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Aseem Kumar Katoch, Adv.
Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that the appellant and the respondent have failed to file the statement of case, although they were notified to do so by notice dated 25.6.2013 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition
Signature Not Verified

petition
Digitally signed by
Madhu Grover

for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)

Date: 2014.12.18

statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement
09:44:40 IST
Reason:

of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the

time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(PRAVEEN KUMAR)
Registrar

MG