

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

TR A N S F E R P E T I T I O N (CIVI L.) NO(s). 29 4 OF 2 0 0 8

SHAI S T A MOHD.S A D I Q U E QUAZI Petitioner(s)

VER SUS

MOHD.S A D I Q U E QUAZI Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 07 / 1 1 / 2 0 0 8 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E ALTA M A S KA B I R
HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E MA R K A N D E Y KA T J U

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukesh Shar m a ,Adv.
Mr. Ra mes h wa r Pr a s a d Goyal,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Respondent- In- Per son,

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

OR D E R

In view of the letter circulated, we had adjourned the matter for two weeks.

However, after we had passed the said order, the respondent, Mohd. Sadique Quazi, appearing in person submitted that he had not been served with the copy of the notice and that he had, in fact, been waiting for the case for the whole day, after having travelled from Pune for the said purpose. If that be so, the procedure adopted on behalf of the petitioner, was not fair.

However, since we have already passed the order directing adjourn ment, let this matter appear on 2 1 st November, 2 0 0 8 at the top of the list. Let the above facts be brought to our notice by way of office report on the next date.

(Ganga Tha ku r)
P.S. to Registr a r

(Juginder Kaur)
Court Master